



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/829,036  | 04/21/2004     | Robert E. Bryant     | P06715US00           | 7444             |
| 22885 7   | 590 01/27/2006 |                      | EXAM                 | INER             |
| MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.                             |                |                      | SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE |                  |
| 801 GRAND AVENUE<br>SUITE 3200<br>DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721 |                |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 3644                 |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/829,036  | BRYANT, ROBERT E.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Elizabeth A. Shaw   | 3644   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 O</u>   | ctober 2005   |  |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>'</i>  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| _   | ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 10 is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) 6-9 and 11-19 is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | , , ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | ate<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/21/04</u> .  | 6) Other:   | акот пррповион (F 1 O+132)   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 22-59 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group of claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on Oct. 31, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Grootheest et al. Van Grootheest et al show a hog farrowing system capable of being used in cold environments comprising a farrowing building, a plurality of farrowing boxes 1 within the farrowing building having an entrance 6 to permit the ingress and egress of the female hogs but not the piglets such that the female hogs may enter the alley between the boxes 1 to move, feed and relieve themselves. Van Grootheest et al discloses at col. 2, lines 60-62 that the pig pen is installed in a barn. However, it is silent as to the particularities of the barn, such as it being a hoop or pole barn. The examiner takes Official Notice that hoop and pole barns are well known in the art and the selection of either type of barns would have been within the knowledge of one skilled in the art as a preferred type of housing.

Art Unit: 3644

Claims 2, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Grootheest et al in view of Nehring (4,442,792). Van Grootheest et al does not disclose a non heated building or a common location for feed and watering stations. Nehring shows a hog farrowing building, capable of being heated by the sunlight during the day but utilizing no supplemental heater (col. 2, lines 25-34), having boxes 26, an alley 14 and common feeding/waterer stations 16. With respect to claim 20, to use the common feeding stations of Nehring with the system of Van Grootheest et al would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to entice the female hogs to leave the box and then to further encourage the movement of the piglets when they are older. With respect to claim 2, to use the non-heated barn of Nehring with the system of Van Grootheest et al would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as a well known barn of the art and to provide weather protection for the animals without incurring excessing heating costs. With respect to claim 21, to use the twelve foot measurement of the feeding stations of the combination of Van Grootheest et al and Nehring would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to allow a maximum number of animals to feed comfortably at the same time

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Grootheest et al in view of <u>Your Future Dairy Barn: Will it be Cold, Have a Modified Environment or be Warm?</u> (www.omafra.gov.on.ca/english/engineer/facts/94-043.htm). Van Grootheest et al does not disclose a non-insulated building. <u>Your Future Dairy Barn</u> teaches the use of cold free stall barns which are not insulted, pg 2-3. With respect to claim 3, to use the non-insulted building of Your Future Dairy Barn with the

system of Van Grootheest et al would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to provide shelter at a minimum of cost.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-9 and 11-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 10 is absent from this application; there is a claim 1 which follows claim 9. Appropriate correction is required. As such, there is no rejection of claim 10, nor has it been indicated as being allowable.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Included for further reference are: Blair (4,060,054), Ahrens (44,62,335), Moore, Jr. (5,890,454), Opfel (2003/0192485), Chun (2004/0069239) and Ahnlund (WO 89/00003).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 571-272-6908. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth A. Shaw

Examiner Art Unit 3644

January 6, 2006

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER